

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE Bryan P. Dube EH-10907(04-100) 9587 10/774,989 02/09/2004 **EXAMINER** 34704 06/15/2005 WHITE, DWAYNE J BACHMAN & LAPOINTE, P.C. 900 CHAPEL STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 1201** NEW HAVEN, CT 06510 3745

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

C)
v.	/

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/774,989	DUBE ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Dwayne J. White	3745		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status		•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Fe	ebruary 2004.			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)☑ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
8) Claim(s) are subjected to:				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 February 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)				
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te		
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/9/04. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 9-11, 13, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Johnson et al. (6,416,283). Johnson et al. discloses a turbine blade comprising: an airfoil having a root end and a tip end, at least on cooling passageway 16 extending from the root to the tip and having a circular cross section; a plurality of turbulation promotion devices 30 in the cooling passage way being arcuate in shape and circumscribing an arc less than 180 degrees. The plurality of turbulation promotion devices includes a pair of aligned devices and end portions of the first one of the pair being spaced from the end portion of the second one of the pair (See Figure 5). The turbulation promotion devices are trip strips and have a surface that is normal to the axis extending from the tip to the root of the cooling fluid flow passage.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,989

Art Unit: 3745

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson et al. Johnson et al. discloses all of the claimed subject matter including a plurality of gaps between the end portions of the trip strips. Johnson et al. does not disclose a specific range for the gap.

Since applicant has not disclosed that having the gaps of the specific dimensions solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose above the fact that the gaps increase heat transfer and it appears that the trip strips of Johnson et al. would perform equally well with having the dimensions as claimed by applicant, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the trip strips of Johnson by utilizing the specific and dimensions as claimed for the purpose of increasing heat transfer.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson et al. in view of Butts (5,232,343). Johnson et al. discloses all of the claimed subject matter except a specific height to diameter (e/D) ratio.

Butts teaches turbulators having an e/D ratio of 0.07 to .333 (Column 4, lines 9-45). Since both Johnson et al. and Butts disclose cooled turbine engine blades with turbulators, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the turbulators of Johnson et al, with the teachings of Butts, by having a ratio as claimed for the purpose of improving the cooling properties of the cooling passageway.

Claims 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson et al. in view of Merry (6,672,836). Johnson et al. discloses all of the claimed subject matter except a specific range for a pitch to height (P/e) ratio and the turbulation promotion devices having a angled surface of a specific range.

Merry teaches having a P/e ratio lying within the range of 5-20 and trip strips having angled surfaces (Figure 3). Since both Johnson et al. and Merry disclose cooled turbine blades having trip strips, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the trip strips of Johnson et al., with the teaches of Merry, by using a P/e ratio as claimed and angling the trips strips for the purpose of improving the cooling passageways cooling properties.

Page 4

Further, since applicant has not disclosed that having the trip strips at a specific angle solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose above the fact that the angle improves cooling properties and it appears that the trip strips of Johnson et al. as modified would perform equally well with the angles as claimed by applicant, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to further modify the trip strips of Johnson et al. by utilizing the specific angels as claimed for the purpose of improving the cooling properties of the cooling passageway.

Claims 12, 15 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson et al. in view of Wei et al. (6,234,752). Johnson et al. discloses all of the claimed subject matter except for having the first set of turbulators off set from the second set of turbulators.

Wei et al. teaches turbulation promotion devices made by the same process as the devices of Johnson et al. wherein the device pattern has the turbulation promotion devices offset from one another. Since both Johnson et al. and Wei et al. disclose similar cooling passageways and similar processes of manufacture, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the devices of Johnson et al., with the teaches of Wei

Application/Control Number: 10/774,989 Page 5

Art Unit: 3745

et al., by offsetting the sets of turbulators for the purpose of modifying the cooling properties of the cooling passageways.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dwayne J. White whose telephone number is (571) 272-4825. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am to 5 pm T-F and alternate Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Look can be reached on (571) 272-4820. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dwayne White
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3745

DJW

EDWARD K. LOOK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

6/11/05